

1502 proposed by Mr. SCHUMER to the bill S. 1260, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1688. Mr. LEE submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 1502 proposed by Mr. SCHUMER to the bill S. 1260, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1689. Mr. LEE submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 1502 proposed by Mr. SCHUMER to the bill S. 1260, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1690. Mr. LEE submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 1502 proposed by Mr. SCHUMER to the bill S. 1260, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1691. Mr. LEE submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 1502 proposed by Mr. SCHUMER to the bill S. 1260, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1692. Mr. LEE submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 1502 proposed by Mr. SCHUMER to the bill S. 1260, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1693. Mr. LEE submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 1502 proposed by Mr. SCHUMER to the bill S. 1260, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1694. Mr. LEE submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 1502 proposed by Mr. SCHUMER to the bill S. 1260, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1695. Mr. LEE submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 1502 proposed by Mr. SCHUMER to the bill S. 1260, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1696. Mr. LEE submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 1502 proposed by Mr. SCHUMER to the bill S. 1260, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1697. Mr. LEE submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 1502 proposed by Mr. SCHUMER to the bill S. 1260, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1698. Mr. LEE submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 1502 proposed by Mr. SCHUMER to the bill S. 1260, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1699. Mr. LEE submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 1502 proposed by Mr. SCHUMER to the bill S. 1260, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1700. Mr. LEE submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 1502 proposed by Mr. SCHUMER to the bill S. 1260, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1701. Mr. JOHNSON submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 1502 proposed by Mr. SCHUMER to the bill S. 1260, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1702. Mr. PADILLA submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 1502 proposed by Mr. SCHUMER to the bill S. 1260, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 1703. Ms. KLOBUCHAR (for herself, Mrs. CAPITO, Ms. CORTEZ MASTO, and Mr. SULLIVAN) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 1502 proposed by Mr. SCHUMER to the bill S. 1260, *supra*; which was ordered to lie on the table.

#### TEXT OF AMENDMENTS

**SA 1523.** Mr. INHOFE (for himself, Mr. SHELBY, Mr. GRAHAM, Mr. COTTON,

Ms. ERNST, Mr. TILLIS, Mr. ROUNDS, Mr. HAGERTY, Mr. CRAMER, Mrs. FISCHER, Mrs. HYDE-SMITH, Mrs. BLACKBURN, Mr. SULLIVAN, and Mr. HAWLEY) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 1502 proposed by Mr. SCHUMER to the bill S. 1260, to establish a new Directorate for Technology and Innovation in the National Science Foundation, to establish a regional technology hub program, to require a strategy and report on economic security, science, research, innovation, manufacturing, and job creation, to establish a critical supply chain resiliency program, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

At the appropriate place, insert the following:

#### **SEC. \_\_\_\_ . POINT OF ORDER TO ENSURE UNITED STATES ADEQUATELY FUNDS NATIONAL DEFENSE.**

(a) FINDINGS.—The Senate makes the following findings:

(1) Relative to the February 2021 Congressional Budget Office spending baseline, President Joseph R. Biden has proposed more than \$6,000,000,000,000 in nondefense spending outside the annual appropriations process.

(2) President Biden's fiscal year 2022 budget request proposes to increase spending in the nondefense discretionary category by almost 20 percent while cutting the United States defense budget in real terms.

(3) This Act contains more than \$100,000,000,000 of authorizations of appropriations without a single additional dollar authorized to be appropriated for the Department of Defense.

(4) The United States Armed Forces has lost \$400,000,000,000 relative to inflation since 2011.

(5) The People's Liberation Army has experienced real budget growth for more than two decades, including 6.8 percent growth in 2021.

(6) A July 22, 2019, statement by Speaker of the House of Representatives Nancy Pelosi and Senator Chuck Schumer stated that “Democrats have always insisted on parity in increases between defense and non-defense”.

(b) SENSE OF THE SENATE.—It is the sense of the Senate that Congress should adequately fund national defense with a whole-of-government investment plan for strategic competition with the People's Republic of China, including by adhering to the principle of parity between increases for defense and nondefense spending, which would result in significant real growth for the defense budget.

(c) POINT OF ORDER FOR FISCAL YEAR 2022.—It shall not be in order in the Senate to consider—

(1) a concurrent resolution on the budget, or an amendment to, conference report on, amendment between the Houses in relation to, or a motion on a concurrent resolution on the budget, that determines and declares as the appropriate level of new discretionary budget authority for major functional category 050 (National Defense) for fiscal year 2022 an amount that is less than the total amount of the appropriate level of new discretionary budget authority determined and declared for all major functional categories other than major functional category 050 for fiscal year 2022;

(2) a bill or joint resolution reported pursuant to section 310 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974 (2 U.S.C. 641), or an amendment to, conference report on, amendment between the Houses in relation to, or motion

on such a bill or joint resolution, that appropriates amounts for 1 or more major functional categories other than major functional category 050 for fiscal year 2022 and does not appropriate amounts for major functional category 050 for fiscal year 2022 in an amount that is not less than the amount appropriated under that measure for all major functional categories other than major functional category 050 for fiscal year 2022; or

(3) a bill or joint resolution making appropriations for fiscal year 2022, or an amendment to, conference report on, amendment between the Houses in relation to, or motion on such a bill or joint resolution, that would cause the total amount of appropriations for the revised nonsecurity category for fiscal year 2022 to exceed the total amount of appropriations for the revised security category for fiscal year 2022.

(d) WAIVER AND APPEAL.—Subsection (c) may be waived or suspended in the Senate only by an affirmative vote of three-fifths of the Members, duly chosen and sworn. An affirmative vote of three-fifths of the Members of the Senate, duly chosen and sworn, shall be required to sustain an appeal of the ruling of the Chair on a point of order raised under subsection (a).

(e) DEFINITIONS.—In this section, the terms “revised nonsecurity category” and “revised security category” have the meanings given those terms in section 250(c)(4) of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985 (2 U.S.C. 900(c)(4)).

**SA 1524.** Mr. BARRASSO submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 1502 proposed by Mr. SCHUMER to the bill S. 1260, to establish a new Directorate for Technology and Innovation in the National Science Foundation, to establish a regional technology hub program, to require a strategy and report on economic security, science, research, innovation, manufacturing, and job creation, to establish a critical supply chain resiliency program, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

At the end of subtitle B of title I of division C, add the following:

#### **SEC. 3117. OPPOSITION TO RESTRICTIONS BY INTERNATIONAL FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS ON FINANCING OF CERTAIN ENERGY PROJECTS.**

(a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall instruct the United States Executive Director of each covered international financial institution to use the voice, vote, and influence of the United States—

(1) to oppose any rule, regulation, policy, or guideline that would restrict, prohibit, or have the effect of restricting or prohibiting, the financing of coal, oil, natural gas, or civil nuclear energy projects; and

(2) to rescind each rule, regulation, policy, or guideline that, as of the date of the enactment of this Act, restricts or prohibits such financing.

(b) REVERSAL OF POLICIES AT INTERNATIONAL BANK FOR RECONSTRUCTION AND DEVELOPMENT.—The Secretary shall instruct the United States Executive Director of the International Bank for Reconstruction and Development to immediately and vigorously pursue policy changes at the Bank, through formal initiatives and through bilateral discussions, that will result in the reversal of the Bank's—

(1) restrictions on financing coal power generation;

(2) prohibitions on financing upstream oil and gas exploration and production; and